

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/581,560	07/17/2000	BERND BRUCHMANN	192286USOPCT	2525
22850	7590 12/04/2001			
OBLON SP	IVAK MCCLELLANI	EXAMINER		
FOURTH FL		SERGENT, RABON A		
	RSON DAVIS HIGHWA N. VA 22202			
AREHOTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.

09/581,560

Applicant(s)

Bruchmann et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Rabon Sergent

Art Unit 1711



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	or Reply	
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
afi	er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
he	considered timely.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
co - Failur - Any r	mmunication. A to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 13, 2</u>	
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>11-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>11-20</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) 🕽	∄ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	
		ve been received in Application No.
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	
. —	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a diam for domestic	- <u> </u>
Attachm	ent(s)	
19/ 10/10/04/10/04/04/04		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 📙 lr	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

* Application/Control Number: 09/581,560

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. In view of applicants' response of September 13, 2001 clarifying the species and the relationship of the species present within the claims, the instant application is subject to the following election of species requirement.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Compositions containing formula (I);

Compositions containing formula (I) and formula (IV);

Compositions containing formula (I) and formula (V);

Compositions containing formula (I) and formula (VII);

Compositions containing formula (I), formula (IV), and formula (V);

Compositions containing formula (I), formula (IV), and formula (VII);

Compositions containing formula (I), formula (V), and formula (VII); and

Compositions containing formula (I), formula (IV), formula (V), and formula (VII).

Applicants are required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument

Application/Control Number: 09/581,560 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 3. Independent claim 19 recites all species, therefore, no claim is considered to be generic.
- 4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Since formula (I) represents an allophanate structure, formula (IV) represents a urethane structure, formula (V) represents a urea structure, and formula (VII) represents an isocyanurate structure, the position is taken that the formulas fail to represent a common structure; therefore, the species are not recognized as a class of compounds or compositions, and a lack of unity is deemed to exist. This situation is considered to be analogous to Lack of Unity Example 23 within section AI, pages 63 and 64 of the MPEP.

Application/Control Number: 09/581,560

Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

5. In view of the quantity and complexity of the species, a telephonic election of species was not attempted.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. Sergent whose telephone number is (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

December 2, 2001